A Regular Meeting of the Zoning Board of Appeals of the Town of Lancaster, Erie County, New York, was held at the Lancaster Town Hall, 21 Central Avenue, Lancaster, New York, on the 12<sup>th</sup> day of June 2014, at 7:00 P.M., and there were

PRESENT: JILL MONACELLI, MEMBER

JAMES PERRY, MEMBER

LAWRENCE PIGNATARO, MEMBER

ARLIE SCHWAN, MEMBER

ROBERT THILL, MEMBER

RICHARD QUINN, CHAIRMAN

ABSENT: JOHN BRUSO, MEMBER

ALSO PRESENT: JOHANNA M. COLEMAN, TOWN CLERK

KEVIN LOFTUS, DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY

LEN CAMPISANO, ASST. CODE ENFORCEMENT OFFICER

The Affidavits of Publication and Posting of this Public Hearing are on file and a copy of the Legal Notice has been posted.

# **PETITION OF: MICHAEL ZIKA**

THE 1st CASE CONSIDERED BY THE ZONING Board of Appeals was that of the petition of Michael Zika, 5633 William Street, Lancaster, New York 14086 for one [1] variance for the purpose of constructing a storage shed on premises owned by the petitioner at 5633 William Street, Lancaster New York, to wit:

A variance from the requirements of Chapter 50, Zoning, Section 9D.(1)(b) of the Code of the Town of Lancaster. The proposed location of the storage shed would result in a ten [10] foot west side yard lot line set back.

Chapter 50, Zoning, Section 9D.(1)(b) of the Code of the Town of Lancaster requires a fifteen [15] foot lot line set back. The petitioner, therefore, requests a five [5] foot west side yard lot line set back variance.

# The Clerk presented and entered into evidence the following items:

Duly executed petition of the applicant with exhibits and schedules attached thereto.

Copy of a letter notifying the petitioner of the time and place of this public hearing.

Copy of a letter notifying owners of property within 100 feet of requested variance of the time and place of this public hearing.

Copy of a letter notifying the Erie County Department of Environment and Planning of the time and place of this public hearing.

#### PERSONS ADDRESSING THE BOARD

Michael Zika, Petitioner Proponent

#### IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF MICHAEL ZIKA

THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION WAS OFFERED BY MR.THILL, WHO MOVED ITS ADOPTION, SECONDED BY MR. PIGNATARO TO WIT:

WHEREAS, the Zoning Board of Appeals of the Town of Lancaster has reviewed the application of Michael Zika and has heard and taken testimony and evidence at a public hearing held before it at 21 Central Avenue, Lancaster, New York, on the 12<sup>th</sup> day of June 2014, and having heard all parties interested in said application pursuant to legal notice duly published and posted, and

WHEREAS, the applicant is the present owner of the premises in question.

**WHEREAS,** the property for which the applicant is petitioning is within a Agricultural Residential District, (A-R) as shown on the Zoning Map of the Town of Lancaster.

**WHEREAS**, the Erie County Department of Environment and Planning has received a full copy of the proposed zoning action and has stated that the proposed action has been reviewed and determined to be of local concern therefore, no recommendation was made.

**WHEREAS,** the Zoning Board of Appeals of the Town of Lancaster has made the following findings:

That no undesirable change will be produced in the character of the neighborhood by the granting of the area variance relief sought.

That no detriment to nearby properties will be created by the granting of the area variance relief sought.

That the benefit sought by the applicant cannot be achieved by some other method, feasible for the applicant to pursue, other than the area variance relief sought.

That the requested area variance relief is not substantial when considering the neighborhood and the size of the lot.

That the proposed area variance relief will not have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood or district.

That the alleged difficulty is self created but not to the extent necessary to preclude the granting of the area variance relief sought.

That this board has taken into consideration the benefit to the applicant if the variance relief sought is granted as weighed against the detriment to the health, safety and welfare of the neighborhood or community by such grant.

That within the intent and purposes of this ordinance the variance relief sought, if granted, is the minimum variance necessary to afford relief.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that based upon these findings, the relief sought be and is hereby **GRANTED**.

The question of the adoption of the foregoing resolution was duly put to a vote on roll call which resulted as follows:

MR BRUSO	WAS AB	SENT
MS. MONACELLI	VOTED	YES
MR. PERRY	VOTED	YES
MR. PIGNATARO	VOTED	YES
MR. SCHWAN	VOTED	YES
MR. THILL	VOTED	YES
MR. QUINN	VOTED	YES

The resolution granting the variance was thereupon ADOPTED.

June 12, 2014

#### **PETITION OF: JEFFREY MAUE**

THE 2<sup>ND</sup> CASE CONSIDERED BY THE ZONING Board of Appeals was that of the petition of Jeffrey Maue, 596 Pavement Road, Lancaster, New York 14086 for three [3] variances for the purpose of constructing a storage shed on premises owned by the petitioner at 596 Pavement Road, Lancaster New York, to wit:

- A. A variance from the requirements of Chapter 50, Zoning, Section 9D.(4) of the Code of the Town of Lancaster. The area of the proposed accessory structure is 1,800 square feet.
  - Chapter 50, Zoning, Section 9D.(4) of the Code of the Town of Lancaster limits the area of an accessory structure to 750 square feet. The petitioner, therefore, requests a 1,050 square foot accessory use area variance.
- B. A variance from the requirements of Chapter 50, Zoning, Section 9D.(2) of the Code of the Town of Lancaster. The height of the proposed accessory structure is twenty-two feet six inches.
  - Chapter 50, Zoning, Section 9D.(2) of the Code of the Town of Lancaster limits the height of accessory structures to sixteen [16] feet. The petitioner, therefore, requests a six foot six inch height variance.
- C. A variance from the requirements of Chapter 50, Zoning, Section 9D.(1)(b) of the Code of the Town of Lancaster. The proposed location of the storage shed would result in a five [5] foot north side yard lot line set back.
  - Chapter 50, Zoning, Section 9D.(1)(b) of the Code of the Town of Lancaster requires a fifteen [15] foot lot line set back. The petitioner, therefore, requests a ten [10] foot north side yard lot line set back variance.

# The Clerk presented and entered into evidence the following items:

Duly executed petition of the applicant with exhibits and schedules attached thereto.

Copy of a letter notifying the petitioner of the time and place of this public hearing.

Copy of a letter notifying owners of property within 100 feet of requested variance of the time and place of this public hearing.

Copy of a letter notifying the Erie County Department of Environment and Planning of the time and place of this public hearing.

### PERSONS ADDRESSING THE BOARD

Jeffrey Maue, Petitioner Proponent

#### IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF JEFFREY MAUE

THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION WAS OFFERED BY MR. PIGNATARO, WHO MOVED ITS ADOPTION, SECONDED BY MR. PERRY TO WIT:

WHEREAS, the Zoning Board of Appeals of the Town of Lancaster has reviewed the application of Jeffrey Maue and has heard and taken testimony and evidence at a public hearing held before it at 21 Central Avenue, Lancaster, New York, on the 12<sup>th</sup> day of June 2014, and having heard all parties interested in said application pursuant to legal notice duly published and posted, and

# NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT

**RESOLVED** that this hearing be adjourned to allow for further testimony and evidence to be presented.

WHEREAS, the applicant is the present owner of the premises in question.

**WHEREAS,** the property for which the applicant is petitioning is within a Agricultural Residential District, (A-R) as shown on the Zoning Map of the Town of Lancaster.

**WHEREAS**, the Erie County Department of Environment and Planning has received a full copy of the proposed zoning action and has stated that the proposed action has been reviewed and determined to be of local concern therefore, no recommendation was made.

**WHEREAS,** the Zoning Board of Appeals of the Town of Lancaster has made the following findings:

That no undesirable change will be produced in the character of the neighborhood by the granting of the area variance relief sought.

That no detriment to nearby properties will be created by the granting of the area variance relief sought.

That the benefit sought by the applicant cannot be achieved by some other method, feasible for the applicant to pursue, other than the area variance relief sought.

That the requested area variance relief is not substantial.

That the proposed area variance relief will not have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood or district.

That the alleged difficulty is self created but not to the extent necessary to preclude the granting of the area variance relief sought.

That this board has taken into consideration the benefit to the applicant if the variance relief sought is granted as weighed against the detriment to the health, safety and welfare of the neighborhood or community by such grant.

That within the intent and purposes of this ordinance the variance relief sought, if granted, is the minimum variance necessary to afford relief.

# NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT

**RESOLVED** that based upon these findings, the relief sought be and is hereby **GRANTED**-subject to the following conditions which in the opinion of this board are appropriate conditions to minimize adverse effects on the character of the surrounding area and to safeguard the public health, safety, convenience and general welfare:

- That a minimum of two windows, at least 20" x 48" in size, are installed on the north side of the structure.
- That drainage is to be contained on petitioner's property.

The question of the adoption of the foregoing resolution was duly put to a vote on roll call which resulted as follows:

MR BRUSO	WAS AB	SENT
MS. MONACELLI	VOTED	YES
MR. PERRY	VOTED	YES
MR. PIGNATARO	VOTED	YES
MR. SCHWAN	VOTED	YES
MR. THILL	VOTED	YES
MR. QUINN	VOTED	YES

The resolution granting the variance was thereupon ADOPTED.

June 12, 2014

#### **PETITION OF: DIANE FATTA**

THE 3<sup>rd</sup> CASE CONSIDERED BY THE ZONING Board of Appeals was that of the petition of Diane Fatta, 2 Chestnut Corner, Lancaster, New York 14086 for one [1] variance for the purpose of erecting a six [6] foot high fence in a required open space area on premises owned by the petitioner at 2 Chestnut Corner, Lancaster, New York, to wit:

A variance from the requirements of Chapter 50, Zoning, Section 35C. of the Code of the Town of Lancaster. The premises upon which this variance is sought is a corner lot fronting on Chestnut Corner with an exterior side yard [considered a front yard equivalent] fronting on Windcroft Lane. The petitioner proposes to erect a six [6] foot high fence within the required open space area of the exterior side yard fronting on Windcroft Lane.

Chapter 50, Zoning, Section 35C. of the Code of the Town of Lancaster limits the height of a fence or wall extending into a front yard or an exterior side yard [considered a front yard equivalent] to three [3] feet in height. The petitioner, therefore, requests a three [3] foot fence height variance.

# The Clerk presented and entered into evidence the following items:

Duly executed petition of the applicant with exhibits and schedules attached thereto.

Copy of a letter notifying the petitioner of the time and place of this public hearing.

Copy of a letter notifying owners of property within 100 feet of requested variance of the time and place of this public hearing.

# PERSONS ADDRESSING THE BOARD

Diane Fatta, Petitioner Proponent

Heidi Cashatt Opponent

Gregory Jurkowski Opponent

# IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF DIANE FATTA

THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION WAS OFFERED BY MR. QUINN, WHO MOVED ITS ADOPTION, SECONDED BY MR. PERRY TO WIT:

WHEREAS, the Zoning Board of Appeals of the Town of Lancaster has reviewed the application of Diane Fatta and has heard and taken testimony and evidence at a public hearing held before it at 21 Central Avenue, Lancaster, New York, on the 12<sup>th</sup> day of June 2014, and having heard all parties interested in said application pursuant to legal notice duly published and posted, and

WHEREAS, the applicant is the present owner of the premises in question.

**WHEREAS,** the property for which the applicant is petitioning is within a Agricultural Residential District, (A-R) as shown on the Zoning Map of the Town of Lancaster.

That the petitioner failed to carry the burden of establishing that strict compliance with the Zoning Ordinance would cause practical difficulties rendering the property unusable.

That denial of the variance does not deprive the petitioner of the ability to construct a six foot fence at the required thirty-five [35] foot setback.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT

RESOLVED that based upon these findings, the relief sought be and is hereby DENIED.

• It is noted that the petitioner has been afforded an opportunity to reduce her requested variance and has declined to do so.

The question of the adoption of the foregoing resolution was duly put to a vote on roll call which resulted as follows:

MR BRUSO	WAS AB	SENT
MS. MONACELLI	VOTED	YES
MR. PERRY	VOTED	YES
MR. PIGNATARO	VOTED	YES
MR. SCHWAN	VOTED	YES
MR. THILL	VOTED	YES
MR. QUINN	VOTED	YES

The resolution granting the variance was thereupon **DENIED.** 

June 12, 2014

ON MOTION DULY MADE, SECONDED AND CARRIED, the meeting was adjourned at 8:10 P.M.

Signed	
	Johanna M. Coleman, Town Clerk and
	Clerk, Zoning Board of Appeals

Dated: June 12, 2014